



Sterile And Non-Sterile Facility Validation In Pharmaceutical Manufacturing: Key Processes And Regulatory Expectations

Author: Miss. Aarti S Choudhari, Dr. S. B. Baokar, Dr. R. N. Patil

(Delonix Society Baramati Collage of Pharmacy, Baramati)

Validation of sterile and non-sterile pharmaceutical facilities is essential to ensure compliance with regulatory standards and maintain product quality. Sterile facilities require stringent validation to prevent contamination, including cleanroom design, HVAC systems, personnel gowning, and media fill simulations. Non-sterile facilities focus on contamination control with less stringent requirements, such as cleaning validation and bioburden control. Both types require thorough documentation, environmental monitoring, and risk-based assessments. This article discusses the validation processes, regulatory expectations, and common pitfalls, such as inadequate documentation and inconsistent cleaning cycles, emphasizing the importance of maintaining safety and compliance in pharmaceutical manufacturing.

Validation of sterile and non-sterile facilities

- Validation of sterile and non-sterile pharmaceutical facilities is a vital process to ensure that manufacturing environments consistently meet regulatory requirements and quality standards.
- This validation confirms that facilities operate reliably within established parameters to produce safe, effective, and compliant pharmaceutical products."

❖ Sterile Facility Validation:

- Sterile facilities manufacture products that must be free from viable microorganisms (e.g., injectables, ophthalmic). Validation here is more stringent.
- Sterile environments require rigorous validation due to the high risk of contamination. Key validation elements include:
 - A. Facility and Cleanroom Design Qualification
 - B. HVAC and Environmental Controls
 - C. Cleanroom Classification and Validation
 - D. Personnel and Gowning Validation
 - E. Utilities Qualification
 - F. Media Fill Simulations

A. Facility and Cleanroom Design Qualification:

- **What is cleanroom?** The clean room is a specially designed sterile setting to minimize entry of aerosol particles and pollutants. It regulates pollutant level, humidity, and personnel access to prevent the entry of dust and germs. Medical device manufacturers need to have a clean room unit within their manufacturing facility. Notably, these rooms provide a controlled and sterile environment for manufacturing and packaging of medical devices. The rooms are specifically designed to maintain low environmental pollutant, such as dust, airborne microbe, aerosol particle, and chemical vapour, levels.
- **The need for a clean room in a medical device manufacturing facility:** The clean room provides a clean, regulated, and sterile environment. These environmental conditions are vital for assembling and manufacturing high quality medical devices. The clean room prevents the entry of both airborne particles and microbes into the regulated area. Airborne particles are harmful for hard drives and microchips used to manufacture medical devices. On the other hand, microorganisms will contaminate the medical devices, thereby making the devices a threat for patient safety. Thus, clean rooms are crucial for the medical device manufacturing facility.
- **Clean room validation:** Validation of the clean room involves a series of mandatory tests conducted for assessing and monitoring the effectiveness of the clean room. The air quality, pressure, temperature, and other key parameters are evaluated during the clean room validation process. Moreover, medical device industry clean rooms are required to operate at the highest level of sterility and safety. The controlled and sterile environment is crucial for safely manufacturing and packaging medical devices. Contamination of medical devices while manufacturing them has to be avoided at all costs to ensure patient safety. Hence, clean room validation is a critical process for manufacturing sterile, safe, and effective medical devices. The clean room environment should meet stringent regulatory cleanliness standards.

B. HVAC and Environmental Controls: Environmental control in a sterile area involves maintaining specific conditions to prevent contamination, ensuring a safe and sterile environment for pharmaceutical manufacturing, healthcare, and laboratory settings. This is achieved through various measures like air filtration, temperature and humidity control, and personnel hygiene practices. the key aspects of environmental control in sterile areas are :

- **Air Filtration and Ventilation:**
 1. **HEPA (High-Efficiency Particulate Air) filters:** These filters remove airborne particles, including bacteria and fungi, from the air, ensuring a clean and controlled atmosphere.
 2. **Laminar Air Flow:** This system ensures a directed flow of filtered air, minimizing turbulence and particle accumulation.
 3. **Ventilation systems:** These provide a constant flow of filtered air, crucial for maintaining sterility.

- **Temperature and Humidity Control:** Specific temperature and humidity ranges are maintained to prevent microbial growth and ensure product stability.
- **Surface and Environmental Monitoring:** Regular monitoring of surfaces and air using methods like contact plates and swabs helps detect contamination and ensure the effectiveness of control measures.
- **Environmental Monitoring Systems (EMS):** EMS are used to verify the control of the environment and meet regulatory requirements. EMS should record and report environmental conditions, tracking personnel interactions, and setting monitoring points at critical locations.

C. Cleanroom Classification and Validation:

- **Cleanroom Classification:** Cleanrooms are classified based on the number and size of airborne particles permitted per volume of air. The two main standards used are:
 1. **ISO 14644-1:** Defines cleanroom classes from ISO Class 1 (most sterile) to ISO Class 9.
 2. **EU GMP Grades:** Common in pharma, especially for sterile products:
 - **Grade A:** Highest level of cleanliness (e.g., aseptic filling)
 - **Grade B:** Background for Grade A operations.
 - **Grade C & D:** For less critical stages like preparation and support areas.
- **Cleanroom Validation:** Validation ensures the cleanroom consistently meets its classification. It includes:
 - **Installation Qualification (IQ)** – Verifies equipment and systems are installed correctly.
 - **Operational Qualification (OQ)** – Confirms systems operate within defined parameters.
 - **Performance Qualification (PQ)** – Demonstrates the cleanroom performs effectively under real conditions.

D. Personnel and Gowning Validation: A Gowning Validation Procedure in a sterile plant ensures personnel can do sterile garments correctly and consistently, minimizing contamination risks during aseptic processing. The process involves training, demonstration, and ongoing monitoring of gowning techniques to maintain a sterile environment. Key Steps in a Gowning Validation Procedure:

- **Training and Education:** Personnel must receive thorough training on the specific gowning procedures, including the correct order of donning garments, proper techniques, and the importance of hygiene.
- **Gowning Procedure:** Remove personal items (jewelry, watches, makeup), and ensure nails are trimmed and clean. Donning Garments: Don garments in a specific order, typically starting with shoe covers, then a hairnet/hood, face mask, and sterile gloves, followed by coveralls or a bunny suit, and finally a second set of sterile gloves. ensure all garments are properly secured and no exposed skin or hair is visible.
- **Validation Trials: Initial Qualification:** Individuals must demonstrate proficiency in gowning through a series of qualification trials, often involving visual inspection of gowning technique and microbiological monitoring of the gown surface. **Ongoing**

Monitoring: Regular monitoring of gowning practices, including visual checks and surface sampling, helps ensure continued compliance and identifies areas for improvement.

E. Utilities Qualification: Utilities qualification in a sterile pharmaceutical plant is a cornerstone of GMP compliance, ensuring that all supporting systems consistently operate within defined parameters to maintain product quality and sterility. Here's a structured overview:

- Utilities include systems that support manufacturing but don't directly contact the product.

Common examples:

Utility	Qualification Tests
Purified Water (PW)/ Water for Injection (WFI)	Conductivity, TOC, microbial limits, endotoxins, loop sanitization validation
Clean Steam	Non-condensable gases, dryness fraction, endotoxins, conductivity
Compressed Air/Nitrogen	Oil content, dew point, microbial count, particulate matter
HVAC / AHU systems	Airflow velocity, HEPA filter integrity (DOP test), pressure differentials, recovery time

F. Media Fill Simulations: Media Fill Simulations, also known as Aseptic Process Simulations (APS), are critical validation exercises in sterile pharmaceutical manufacturing. They're designed to demonstrate that the aseptic process can consistently produce sterile products without contamination.

- **What Is a Media Fill?** A media fill replaces the actual drug product with a microbiological growth medium (typically Tryptic Soy Broth or Soybean Casein Digest Medium) and simulates the entire aseptic manufacturing process—from component sterilization to final sealing. The goal is to detect any potential contamination risks in the process.
- **Key Elements of a Media Fill:**
 1. **Study Design-** Simulate worst-case conditions (e.g., longest run time, maximum personnel interventions, shift changes).
 - Include all routine and non-routine interventions.
 - Use representative container types and sizes.
 2. **Execution:** Performed under normal operating conditions.
 - Typically, three consecutive successful runs are required for initial validation
 - Semi-annual requalification is standard for ongoing validation.
 3. **Incubation & Inspection-** Filled units are incubated at 20–25°C for 7 days, then 30–35°C for another 7 days.
 - Each unit is visually inspected for microbial growth.
 4. **Acceptance Criteria:** Zero contaminated units in small batches (≤5,000 units).

- For larger batches, very low contamination rates are tolerated, per regulatory guidelines.

5. **Failure Investigation:** Any contamination must be thoroughly investigated.

- Root cause analysis and corrective actions are mandatory before resuming production.

❖ **Non-Sterile Facility Validation:**

Aspect	Sterile Facility Validation	Non-Sterile Facility Validation
Product Requirement	Products must be free of viable microorganisms (e.g., injectables, ophthalmic)	Products do not require sterility, but must be free from unacceptable contamination
Regulatory Stringency	Highly stringent; regulated by EU GMP Annex 1, FDA aseptic processing guidance	Less stringent; follows general GMP guidelines
Cleanroom Classification	Required (e.g., ISO 5 / Grade A to ISO 8 / Grade D) per ISO 14644 & Annex 1	Usually not required; if applied, typically for sensitive dosage forms
HVAC System Validation	Critical; includes HEPA filters, pressure differentials, laminar airflow, unidirectional flow	Important for contamination control, but no HEPA typically needed
Environmental Monitoring (EM)	Continuous and frequent monitoring of viable and non-viable particulates	Periodic monitoring, mainly to control dust and bioburden
Personnel Qualification	Extensive gowning qualification, aseptic technique training, cleanroom behavior validation	Basic hygiene training, gowning appropriate to the area classification
Process Validation	Requires media fills (aseptic process simulation), sterility testing	Focuses on cleaning validation, bioburden control, and equipment qualification
Water System	Usually Water for Injection (WFI) or sterile water required; strict microbiological specs	Typically Purified Water (PW); microbiological specs are more lenient
Utility Validation	Compressed air, gases, steam – must meet sterile product standards	Utilities validated for cleanliness, no need for sterility level

Aspect	Sterile Facility Validation	Non-Sterile Facility Validation
Cleaning Validation	Critical to ensure no microbial or endotoxin contamination	Important for avoiding cross-contamination and residue carryover
Documentation	Requires detailed protocols, records, trending of EM, deviation handling	Also requires validation documentation but typically less complex.

❖ Regulatory expectations and common pitfall

- **Regulatory Expectations:** Regulatory expectations for Cleaning-In-Place (CIP) and Facility Validation center on demonstrating that cleaning processes consistently and effectively remove product residues and contaminants from equipment and facilities to prevent cross-contamination and ensure product quality. Common pitfalls include inadequate documentation, inconsistent cleaning cycles, poor sampling procedures, and inaccurate residue limit calculations.

1. Demonstrating Effectiveness:

Regulatory bodies like the FDA require validation of cleaning procedures to prove they consistently achieve a predetermined standard for cleanliness.

2 Risk-Based Approach:

A risk assessment should be conducted to identify potential contamination risks and determine the scope and parameters of the validation process.

3 Documentation:

Thorough and accurate documentation of all aspects of the cleaning validation process, including procedures, results, and any deviations, is essential.

4 Change Control:

Any changes to the cleaning process, equipment, or products require a re-evaluation of the validation to ensure continued effectiveness.

5 Analytical Methods:

Validated analytical methods must be used to detect and quantify residue levels, ensuring they are sensitive and specific to the contaminants of concern.

6 Visual Inspection:

Visual inspection of cleaned equipment is often a part of the validation process and should be performed according to established procedures.

7 Hold Time Studies:

Studies should be conducted to determine the maximum time that cleaned equipment can be stored before use without compromising cleanliness.

❖ Common Pitfalls

Common pitfalls include inadequate documentation, inconsistent cleaning cycles, poor sampling procedures, and inaccurate residue limit calculations.

➤ Inadequate Sampling:

Improper sampling techniques or insufficient sampling points can lead to inaccurate assessment of cleaning effectiveness.

➤ Inconsistent Cleaning Cycles:

Variations in cleaning parameters (temperature, time, chemical concentration) can result in inconsistent cleaning and potential residue carryover.

➤ Poor Documentation:

Incomplete or inaccurate documentation can hinder investigations, prevent effective change control, and raise concerns about the validity of the cleaning process.

➤ Incorrect Residue Limit Calculations:

Inaccurate calculations of residue limits can lead to acceptance criteria that are either too lenient or too stringent, potentially compromising product quality.

➤ Lack of Training:

Insufficient training of personnel involved in the cleaning process can lead to deviations from validated procedures and inconsistent results.

➤ Manual Methods:

Relying on manual cleaning methods without proper validation can be time-consuming, labor-intensive, and prone to human error.

➤ Cross-Contamination:

Failure to properly address cross-contamination risks can lead to product recalls and patient harm.

➤ Multiple Systems:

Data silos and inconsistencies can arise when cleaning validation data is spread across multiple systems.

❖ References

1. Alvarez N, Daufin G, Guiziou G (2010) Recommendations for rationalizing cleaning-in-place in the dairy industry: Case study of an ultra-high temperature heat exchanger. American Dairy Science Association. *J Dairy Sci* 93:808–821
2. Amitha T, Sathian CT (2014) Cleaning-In-Place (CIP) system in Dairy Plant - Review. *IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol (IOSR-JESTFT)* 8(6):41–44
3. Bhandari VB (2017) Design of machine elements, 4th edn. McGraw Hill Education, pp 758–784
4. Indian Standard (1998) Code for unfired pressure vessels (IS: 2825–1969), 8th edn. Bureau of Indian Standards, pp 5–62
5. Nighot KB, Kunjir RA, Wagh NP, Barure ON (2015) Automation of Cleaning-In-Place for Food and Beverage Industry Using PLC and SCADA. *Int J Adv Res Electr Electron Instrum Eng* 5(4):3140–3146
6. Nurgin M, Slevica V M, Milan M, Jelena B, Dragutin D (2015) CIP cleaning process in Dairy industry. International 58th Meat Industry Conference, Elsevier, vol 5, p 184–186
7. Raj PG, Arya RKK, Joshi T, Bishat D (2018) A Review on Cleaning Validation in Pharmaceutical Industry. *Int J Drug Deliv Ther* 8(3):138–146
8. Swapnil K, Sangitrao RS, Shubham K, Gajanan I, Shubham M (2017) Automated Cleaning in Dairy Industry using CIP Method. *Int J Innov Sci Res Technol* 2(4):274–277
9. Vaishnavi D, Amruta D, Dalavi DV (2015) Cleaning-In-Place in Pharmaceutical Industry using PLC and SCADA Software. *Int J Adv Res Sci Eng* 4(3):257–265
10. Kumar S (2012) A review on concept of cleaning validation in pharmaceutical industry. *Int J Pharm Sci Res* 3(7):17–19
11. Rowell FJ, Miao ZF, Neeve RN (1998) Pharmaceutical analysis nearer the sampling point, use of simple, rapid on-site immunoassays for cleaning validation, health and safety, and environmental release applications. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 50:47
12. Inampudi P, Lombardo S, Ruezinsky G (1996) An integrated approach for validating cleaning procedures in biopharmaceutical manufacturing facilities. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 782:363–374
13. Kaiser HJ, Tirey JF, LeBlanc DA (1999) Measurement of organic and inorganic residues recovered from surfaces. *J Valn Tech* 6(1):424–436
14. Narayana Murthy D, Chitra K (2013) A review article on cleaning validation. *Int J Pharm Sci Res* 4(9):3317–3327
15. Kumar VS, Sanjeev T (2012) Overview of cleaning validation in pharmaceutical manufacturing unit. *Int J Adv Res Biol Sci* 1(2):154–164