



Minority Stress Theory in Contemporary LGBTQ+ Mental Health Research: A Critical Narrative Review

Bedotroyee Sen, Sonal Chand , Bsc Nursing Student , Assistant Professor, Sharda School of Nursing
Science & Research (SSNSR) Sharda University

Abstract

Mental health disparities among LGBTQ+ populations are a major problem that's focussed in contemporary research. Minority Stress Theory (MST) provides a framework for understanding how stigma, discrimination, identity concealment, and expectations of rejection contribute to adverse mental health outcomes. This narrative review examined the role of MST in explaining mental health disparities among LGBTQ+ individuals and evaluated its strengths and limitations. The reviewed literature consistently showed that minority stress is associated with higher levels of depression, anxiety, and psychological distress, while protective factors such as social support, family acceptance, and resilience promote better mental health outcomes. Although MST remains a widely supported and influential framework, its limited focus on structural and intersectional factors highlights the need for further theoretical development. Overall, MST continues to be a valuable tool for understanding LGBTQ+ mental health disparities and guiding future research.

Introduction

A major concern in psychological and public health research is mental health disparities among bisexual, transgender, gay, lesbian, other sexual minority and along with gender minority (LGBTQ+) population. There are many studies pointed out that people belonging to LGBTQ+ community face high chances of anxiety, depression, psychological distress, substance use and suicidal compared to the people who are normally attracted to opposite gender. (King et al., 2008; Russell & Fish, 2016; Marshal et al., 2011). Researchers have also stated many times that the reason of mental health disparities are related to the individuals facing stigma, discrimination, and social exclusion along with individual vulnerabilities.

The theory named as Minority Stress Theory, was conceptualized by the author Brooks in the year 1981 and later it was formalized by the author Meyer recently in the year 2003. This is one of the most

influential structures which explain these mental health inequalities. The theory says that due to prejudice, discrimination, the feeling of being rejected, stigma and masking his identity due to chronic stress is commonly seen among both sexual minorities. These accumulations of all sort of tension and negative thoughts affect the mental well-being of the people (Meyer, 2003). All the evidence supports this structure of MST, which explains the strong relation between perceived victimization and adverse psychological sequelae among all the LGBTQ+ population (Mays & Cochran, 2001; Hatzenbuehler, 2009; Herek, 2007). With progression of time, transgender and gender minority population came under MST.

Researchers always focused more on understanding the psychological problems faced by transgender people along with the people dealing with gender-related stigma and identity invalidation (Hendricks & Testa, 2012; Bockting et al., 2013; White Hughto et al., 2015). Now-a-days to reduce the stress of minority population, preventive factors like family acceptance, support from the society, family acceptance, and community connectedness are promoted (Ryan et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2010; Meyer, 2015; Camp et al., 2020).

MST is acknowledged and has a huge application still it has faced criticism because it includes limited groups and doesn't consider any broader structural factors. Many scholars debated that the experiences mental health disparities are shaped by multiple interacting identities including gender, class, race, and sexuality (Crenshaw, 1989; Bowleg, 2013). More to this social institutions, policies and cultural norms is identified as an important determinant and it's deeply embedded in structural stigma among LGBTQ+ health outcomes (Link & Phelan, 2001; Hatzenbuehler et al., 2013).

The Minority Stress Theory has a great affect among the LGBTQ+ in health research and explanatory capability of it's critical examination is justified. Therefore, this narrative theoretical review aims to assess the magnitude of Minority Stress Theory. It talks about mental health disparities among LGBTQ+ populations and also to evaluate its strengths and limitations in these contemporary researches.

Research Question

Among the LGBTQ+ populations (Population), how does the theory of Minority Stress Theory (Concept) explain mental health disparities within all the contemporary mental health research (Context), and what are it's strengths and limitations that's identified in the literature?

Research Objectives

- To assess how the theory named as Minority Stress Theory explains how mental health problems that's present within the LGBTQ+ populations and also to evaluate its strengths and limitations in these contemporary researches.
- To explore and understand theoretical foundations and key concepts of MST which is related to psychological health of LGBTQ population
- To examine how Minority Stress Theory deals with the mental health problems among the LGBTQ+ people who faces stigma, discrimination, and also prefer to keep the identity hidden as it creates stress.
- To pool out empirical evidence that's supporting the use of Minority Stress Theory for understanding what are the outcomes related to mental health among LGBTQ+ individuals.
- To analyze the detrimental impacts of minority stress due to resilience factors and protective mechanisms

- To identify research gaps that are present in the literature that's reviewed and come up with a pathway for the research work in the future on LGBTQ+ mental health and Minority Stress Theory.

Methodology

Research Design

This is a narrative theoretical review is done to find that among LGBTQ+ populations how Minority Stress Theory (MST) is relevant explaining mental health disparities. Narrative theoretical reviews are extremely helpful for understanding, evaluating and concluding theoretical frameworks, concepts, and key empirical contributions within a field. The core purpose of a narrative theoretical review is to create a clear understanding by analyzing and integrating all the influential scholarly works.

The review focused mainly on assessing the explanatory power, strengths, limitations, and how Minority Stress Theory is still applicable within the research of mental wellbeing of LGBTQ+ people.

PCC Framework

The review was done according to Population–Concept–Context (PCC) framework to make sure clarity and consistency and transparency in the selection and analysis of literature.

Population - LGBTQ+ populations, (includes all the LGBTQ populations and other sexual minority and gender minority individuals)

Concept - MST and how it explains about the psychological health problems, discrimination, stigma, coping processes and resilience.

Context - Contemporary research in psychology, public health, sociology, social work, and gender studies

Literature Search Strategy

To find influential scholarly works or the narrative review related to Minority Stress Theory and LGBTQ+ mental health we need to carry out a extremely precise literature search. Electronic database like Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed were searched.

The search included keywords related with LGBTQ+ populations, Minority Stress Theory, stigma, discrimination, lesbian gay, anxiety, mental health outcomes, depression, psychological distress, bisexual, transgender, gay, lesbian, other sexual minority and gender minority.

Inclusion Criteria

- Studies that focused on LGBTQ+ or sexual and gender minority populations.
- Studies that assessed Minority Stress Theory or concepts of stress related to minority groups.
- Studies which include Investigation on psychological health and come up with result such as anxiety, depression, psychological distress or resilience.
- Studies that were published in English.
- Studies that made major theoretical or empirical contributions to understanding that mental health disparities of LGBTQ+ population.

Exclusion Criteria

- Studies that did not focus on LGBTQ+ populations.
- Minority Stress was not addressed
- Studies that mainly focused on physical health outcomes and didn't discuss about mental health.
- Studies that were editorials, conference abstracts, book reviews, or unpublished works.
- Studies of different language

Study Selection

The literature that's included in this theoretical narrative review was selected intentionally on the basis of it's relevance to the research question. It also helps in the improvement and implementation of Minority Stress Theory. During selection of study the seminal theoretical works were given the priority along with highly cited empirical studies, and contemporary review articles that have influenced the understanding of LGBTQ+ mental health disparities. Studies were also selected on the basis of their contribution to the discussions of mental health outcomes, discrimination, stigma, resilience, and intersectionality within LGBTQ+ populations. These studies were selected for a proper theoretical narrative review to get a proper overview the psychological health disparities among the LGBTQ+ people along with it's strength and limitations

Results and Findings

The review has ten key studies which were studied deeply to know the relation among Minority Stress Theory (MST) and psychological health among LGBTQ+ populations. Most of the literature talks about the stress faced by the minority people and their relation with mental health disparities.

Meyer (2003) proved that theoretical foundation of MST by improvising that sexual minorities can be triggered by unique stressors like stigma, partiality, identity concealment, discrimination, social control and expectations of rejection. Many experimental studies supported the framework, and identified the relation between perceived discrimination and psychological distress as a key point in LGBTQ+ population. (Mays & Cochran, 2001; Herek, 2007).

A lot of studies stated that higher levels of depression, anxiety, and other psychological problems are the major contributors causing stress among the sexual minority and gender minority people (Hatzenbuehler, 2009). Research that talked about transgender populations found that experiences like stigma, social marginalisation and invalidation of identity is very much related with bad psychological health. (Hendricks & Testa, 2012; Bockting et al., 2013).

The literature also noticed that there are also factors that's associated with improved well being. Self-acceptance, supportive social environments and resilience helped in reduction of the adverse effects of minority stress and also to improve mental health.(Camp et al., 2020; Pachankis, 2015). In addition, resilience came up as an main preventive measures within transgender individuals (Bockting et al., 2013).

Some of the latest studies put light on the importance of considering broader social influences on LGBTQ+ mental health. Frost and Meyer (2023) focused how MST is still relevant along with identifying

the role of social factors and structural factors. Crenshaw's (1989) work on intersectional analysis focused on experiences of stigma, discrimination, invalidation of identity can affect the multiple social identities.

After reviewing all the literature, most of that consistently explains a relationship between minority stress and psychological health among all the LGBTQ+ populations. It also identifies resilience and support of the society as the most important protective measures.

Table 1. Theoretical and Empirical Contributions

Author (Year)	Theory/Framework	Population	Key Findings
Meyer (2003)	Minority Stress Theory	Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual populations	Prejudice, discrimination, identity concealment, and internalized stigma contribute to mental health disparities among sexual minorities.
Hatzenbuehler (2009)	Psychological Mediation Framework	Sexual minority populations	Psychological processes such as emotion dysregulation and social isolation mediate the effects of stigma on mental health.
Frost & Meyer (2023)	Minority Stress Theory	LGBTQ+ populations	Minority Stress Theory remains relevant but should incorporate structural and intersectional dimensions.
Pachankis (2015)	Transdiagnostic Minority Stress Framework	Gay and bisexual men	Minority stress contributes to multiple co-occurring mental health conditions and can inform clinical interventions.
Mays & Cochran (2001)	Minority Stress Perspective	Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual adults	Perceived discrimination is strongly associated with psychological distress and poorer mental health outcomes.
Herek (2007)	Sexual Stigma Framework	Sexual minorities	Sexual stigma and prejudice function as social stressors that negatively affect well-being.
Camp et al. (2020)	Resilience and Minority Stress Perspectives	LGBQ+ individuals	Self-acceptance and positive identity development reduce the negative impact of minority stress.
Hendricks & Testa (2012)	Transgender Minority Stress Model	Transgender and gender non-conforming individuals	Transgender individuals experience unique stressors that require adaptation of the original Minority Stress Model.
Bockting et al. (2013)	Minority Stress Theory	Transgender adults	Stigma is associated with poorer mental health, whereas resilience and community support improve outcomes.
Crenshaw (1989)	Intersectionality Theory	Marginalized populations	Multiple social identities intersect to shape experiences of oppression and disadvantage.

Discussion

Most important findings of this review is that MST(Minority Stress Theory) is extremely relevant and important framework for studying and understanding the mental health issues of the people belonging to LGBTQ+ community. The Meyer's (2003) theoretical model also talks about the mental health outcome one individual of this community undergoes, due to stigma , social discrimination, feeling for exclusion, hiding true identity and expectation of being rejected. Studies highlighting that minority stress are highly related to increase mental distress, anxiety, depression and being suicidal. These mental disparities are formed by social and environmental factors along with one's individual vulnerabilities.

Initially this theory was developed for explaining the mental condition and experiences that an individual of sexual minorities go through. But with time the application of this theory broadened and it was highly applicable to the transgender and gender-diverse individuals. Mental health gets adversely affected by significant stressors like social marginalization, identity invalidation and gender-related stigma are further explained by the authors Hendricks and Testa (2012) and Bockting et al. (2013).

There are many strengths of the review but along with that there are few limitations. This theory primarily focuses on individual and interpersonal stressors but give extremely less attention on broader structural influences. The way LGBTQ+ people felt and the way they face the problems of mental health can also get affected by discriminatory laws, institutional practices, societal norms and healthcare inequalities.

Table 2. Critical Appraisal Matrix

 Author (Year)	 Strengths	 Limitations	 Relevance to Research Questions
Meyer (2003)	Foundational theory explaining LGBTQ+ mental health disparities.	Limited consideration of transgender and intersectional identities.	Provides the primary theoretical framework for understanding mental health disparities.
Hatzenbuehler (2009)	Explains mechanisms linking minority stress and mental health.	Focuses largely on individual-level processes.	Clarifies how minority stress affects psychological well-being.
Frost & Meyer (2023)	Comprehensive contemporary evaluation of MST.	Limited new empirical evidence.	Useful for assessing strengths, limitations, and future directions of MST.
Pachankis (2015)	Demonstrates clinical applications of MST.	Focuses mainly on gay and bisexual men.	Shows practical implications of Minority Stress Theory.
Mays & Cochran (2001)	Strong empirical evidence connecting discrimination and mental health.	Cross-sectional design limits causal inference.	Supports the empirical validity of MST.
Herek (2007)	Highlights societal and structural sources of stigma.	Less emphasis on psychological pathways.	Provides context for understanding minority stress processes.
Camp et al. (2020)	Emphasizes resilience and protective factors.	Primarily focused on LGBTQ+ populations.	Important for discussing factors that buffer minority stress.
Hendricks & Testa (2012)	Expands MST to transgender populations.	Primarily conceptual rather than empirical.	Demonstrates the adaptability of MST across gender minority groups.
Bockting et al. (2013)	Provides empirical support for transgender applications of MST.	Online convenience sample may limit generalizability.	Strengthens evidence for MST among transgender individuals.
Crenshaw (1989)	Introduces intersectionality as a critical analytical lens.	Not specifically focused on LGBTQ+ mental health.	Helps identify limitations of MST and the need for intersectional approaches.

LGBTQ+ individuals has a lot of more identities that's related to gender, race, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and disability which altogether create an unique experience of discrimination and stress. Crenshaw's (1989) intersectionality framework give the idea of the need to understand and study how these overlapping ideas are related to mental health outcomes. By adding intersectional perspectives along with MST we can improve the ability to acknowledge the diverse feelings of LGBTQ+ population.

Altogether the review says that Minority Stress Theory gives a very strong and empirically supported explanation for mental health disparities among LGBTQ+ populations. But in future theoretical developments should include intersectional and structural perspectives to understand the complexity of LGBTQ+ experiences and to improve the relevance of the theory within contemporary mental health research.

Conclusion

This review explains that Minority Stress Theory is an extremely important structure for acknowledging the problems of psychological health within the LGBTQ+ populations. The all the literature explains that feelings of stigma, discrimination, hiding identity, and expectations of refusal increases the levels of depression, anxiety, psychological problems, and other mental health problems. Along with those preventive measures like support of the society, family acceptance, along with resilience can help in reduction of the adverse consequences of minority stress.

All upcoming research should include structural and intersectional perspectives to come up with a more better understanding of LGBTQ+ mental health. Overall, MST is still as important and relevant framework which explains mental health disparities within LGBTQ+ communities.

References

1. Alessi, E. J. (2014). A framework for incorporating minority stress theory into treatment with sexual minority clients. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health*, 18(1), 47–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19359705.2013.789811>
2. Balsam, K. F., Beadnell, B., & Molina, Y. (2013). The daily heterosexist experiences questionnaire: Measuring minority stress among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adults. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 46(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175612449743>
3. Bockting, W. O., Miner, M. H., Swinburne Romine, R. E., Hamilton, A., & Coleman, E. (2013). Stigma, mental health, and resilience in an online sample of the U.S. transgender population. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(5), 943–951. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301241>
4. Bowleg, L. (2013). Once you’ve blended the cake, you can’t take the parts back to the main ingredients: Black gay and bisexual men’s descriptions and experiences of intersectionality. *Sex Roles*, 68(11–12), 754–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-012-0152-4>
5. Bowleg, L. (2017). Towards a critical health equity research stance: Why epistemology and methodology matter more than qualitative methods. *Health Education & Behavior*, 44(5), 677–684. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198117728760>
6. Brooks, V. R. (1981). *Minority stress and lesbian women*. Lexington Books.
7. Budge, S. L., Adelson, J. L., & Howard, K. A. S. (2013). Anxiety and depression in transgender individuals: The roles of transition status, loss, social support, and coping. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 81(3), 545–557. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031774>
8. Camp, J., Vitoratou, S., & Rimes, K. A. (2020). LGBTQ+ self-acceptance and its relationship with minority stressors and mental health: A systematic literature review. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 49(7), 2353–2373. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-020-01755-2>
9. Connolly, M. D., Zervos, M. J., Barone, C. J., Johnson, C. C., & Joseph, C. L. M. (2016). The mental health of transgender youth: Advances in understanding. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 59(5), 489–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.06.012>
10. Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
11. Earnshaw, V. A., Reisner, S. L., Menino, D. D., Poteat, T., Bogart, L. M., Barnes, T. N., & Schuster, M. A. (2016). Stigma-based bullying interventions: A systematic review. *Developmental Review*, 42, 178–200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2016.10.001>
12. Fish, J. N., Salerno, J., Williams, N. D., Rinderknecht, R. G., Drotning, K. J., Sayer, L., & Doan, L. (2020). Sexual minority disparities in health and well-being as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic differ by sexual identity. *LGBT Health*, 8(4), 263–272. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2020.0489>
13. Frost, D. M., Lehavot, K., & Meyer, I. H. (2015). Minority stress and physical health among sexual minority individuals. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 38(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-013-9523-8>
14. Frost, D. M., & Meyer, I. H. (2023). Minority stress theory: Application, critique, and continued relevance. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 51, 101579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2023.101579>

15. Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2009). How does sexual minority stigma “get under the skin”? A psychological mediation framework. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(5), 707–730. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016441>
16. Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2011). The social environment and suicide attempts in lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Pediatrics*, 127(5), 896–903. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-3020>
17. Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2014). Structural stigma and the health of lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 23(2), 127–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414523775>
18. Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Phelan, J. C., & Link, B. G. (2013). Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(5), 813–821. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301069>
19. Hendricks, M. L., & Testa, R. J. (2012). A conceptual framework for clinical work with transgender and gender nonconforming clients: An adaptation of the Minority Stress Model. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 43(5), 460–467. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029597>
20. Herek, G. M. (2007). Confronting sexual stigma and prejudice: Theory and practice. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63(4), 905–925. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00544.x>
21. King, M., Semlyen, J., Tai, S. S., Killaspy, H., Osborn, D., Popelyuk, D., & Nazareth, I. (2008). A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self-harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BMC Psychiatry*, 8, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-8-70>
22. Lehavot, K., & Simoni, J. M. (2011). The impact of minority stress on mental health and substance use among sexual minority women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 79(2), 159–170. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022839>
23. Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27, 363–385. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363>
24. Marshal, M. P., Dietz, L. J., Friedman, M. S., Stall, R., Smith, H. A., McGinley, J., & Brent, D. A. (2011). Suicidality and depression disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 49(2), 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.02.005>
25. Mays, V. M., & Cochran, S. D. (2001). Mental health correlates of perceived discrimination among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91(11), 1869–1876. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.91.11.1869>
26. McCann, E., & Brown, M. (2019). Discrimination and resilience and the needs of people who identify as transgender: A narrative review of quantitative research studies. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 28(19–20), 3504–3515. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15013>
27. McConnell, E. A., Janulis, P., Phillips, G., Truong, R., & Birkett, M. (2018). Multiple minority stress and LGBT community resilience among sexual minority men. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 5(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000265>
28. Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674–697. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674>
29. Meyer, I. H. (2015). Resilience in the study of minority stress and health of sexual and gender minorities. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 2(3), 209–213. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000132>
30. Meyer, I. H., Schwartz, S., & Frost, D. M. (2008). Social patterning of stress and coping: Does disadvantaged social status confer more stress and fewer coping resources? *Social Science & Medicine*, 67(3), 368–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.03.012>

31. Mustanski, B., Andrews, R., & Puckett, J. A. (2016). The effects of cumulative victimization on mental health among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adolescents and young adults. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(3), 527–533. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302976>
32. Newcomb, M. E., & Mustanski, B. (2010). Internalized homophobia and internalizing mental health problems: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(8), 1019–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.07.003>
33. Pachankis, J. E. (2015). A transdiagnostic minority stress treatment approach for gay and bisexual men's syndemic health conditions. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 44(7), 1843–1860. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-015-0480-x>
34. Puckett, J. A., Woodward, E. N., Mereish, E. H., & Pantalone, D. W. (2015). Parental rejection following sexual orientation disclosure: Impact on internalized homophobia, social support, and mental health. *LGBT Health*, 2(3), 265–269. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2013.0045>
35. Reisner, S. L., Greytak, E. A., Parsons, J. T., & Ybarra, M. L. (2015). Gender minority social stress in adolescence: Disparities in adolescent bullying and substance use by gender identity. *Journal of Sex Research*, 52(3), 243–256. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2014.886321>
36. Riggle, E. D. B., Rostosky, S. S., Black, W. W., & Rosenkrantz, D. E. (2017). Outness, concealment, and authenticity: Associations with mental health among sexual minority adults. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 4(1), 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000202>
37. Russell, S. T., & Fish, J. N. (2016). Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 12, 465–487. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-021815-093153>
38. Ryan, C., Huebner, D., Diaz, R. M., & Sanchez, J. (2009). Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in White and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. *Pediatrics*, 123(1), 346–352. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-3524>
39. Ryan, C., Russell, S. T., Huebner, D., Diaz, R., & Sanchez, J. (2010). Family acceptance in adolescence and the health of LGBT young adults. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 23(4), 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6171.2010.00246.x>
40. Sevelius, J. M. (2013). Gender affirmation: A framework for conceptualizing risk behavior among transgender women of color. *Sex Roles*, 68(11–12), 675–689. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-012-0216-5>
41. Testa, R. J., Habarth, J., Peta, J., Balsam, K., & Bockting, W. (2015). Development of the Gender Minority Stress and Resilience Measure. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 2(1), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000081>
42. White Hughto, J. M., Reisner, S. L., & Pachankis, J. E. (2015). Transgender stigma and health: A critical review of stigma determinants, mechanisms, and interventions. *Social Science & Medicine*, 147, 222–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.11.010>
43. Woodford, M. R., Kulick, A., Sinco, B. R., & Hong, J. S. (2014). Contemporary heterosexism on campus and psychological distress among LGBQ students: The mediating role of self-acceptance. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 84(5), 519–529. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ort0000015>